Appendix 1-3: Comments on the Draft 2011 South Florida Environmental Report – Volume I from Outside Persons and Organizations

In September 2010, these comments were provided publicly on the District's SFER WebBoard (www.sfwmd.gov/webboards). With the exception of reformatting some information for better readability, this appendix was not edited or spellchecked by the SFER production staff and appears verbatim as posted on the WebBoard.

COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT 2011 SFER – VOLUME I, CHAPTER 5

Reviewer: Kelli Edson (Florida Department of Environmental Protection)

Posted: 09/17/10 at 03:50 PM

2011 SFER Ch 5 Comments

Comment Number	Line #	General Comments
1	56-66	There is no mention of the Avian Protection Plan which could serve to show interagency cooperation on these issues.
2	79-84	In order to help the reader understand how the flow-weighted mean concentrations and flows are calculated, it would be helpful to reference Appendix 5-2 in the figure caption, so the reader knows where to look for explanatory information.
3	85-86	Please consider including a definition of Hydraulic loading rates and phosphorus loading rates somewhere in the chapter or appendices.
14	211	Consider including reason for supplemental water being delivered (dry-out?)
18	255	Consider the use of the word <i>non-native</i> in place of <i>exotic if applicable</i> .
	256	This sentence can be interpreted to say that veg mgt (in the STAs) is control of exotic spp only.
19	266	Used cm for depth which is inconsistent with permit language. Suggest altering units or providing both.
20	295	This sentence implies that AOs only apply to NPDES permits.
30	432	Please identify the pilot project.
33	549	Reported outflow of 51 vs. limit of 50 difference is 1 not 2.
37	1184	The use of cm is inconsistent with permit unit of measure for describing stage levels in the STA.
51	1611&1634	May consider using the phrase "stage level reduction" vs. dewatering since this has a specific regulatory meaning.
57	2061	Remove the word originally and consider adding information regarding the issuance of the L-6 mod.